

Scott Sibbel, PhD, MPH;¹ Donna Jensen, PhD;¹ Sarb Shergill;² Bradley J. Maroni;² Steven M. Brunelli, MD, MSCE¹ ¹DaVita Clinical Research, Minneapolis, MN, USA, ²Akebia Therapeutics, Cambridge, MA, USA

Introduction

- Previous studies have demonstrated that hemodialysis (HD) patients residing at higher altitudes:
- Utilize less erythropoiesis-stimulating agent (ESA) and have higher hemoglobin (Hb) concentrations ^{1,2}
- Have lower all-cause mortality and fewer cardiovascular events ^{3,4,5}
- It is suggested that these effects may be due to regulation of hypoxia-responsive genes.⁶
- There has been a fundamental change in anemia management in end-stage renal disease (ESRD) patients since 2011. It is therefore important to understand the effects of altitude on patient outcomes in a contemporary population of ESRD patients.

– Confirmation would lend support to the effect of altitude being the consequence of a real biological mechanism, as opposed to an artifact of the previous standard of care.

Objective

To better understand the impact of altitude on utilization of anemia medications and mortality following the 2011 changes to the US epoetin alfa label and reimbursement policy.

Methods

Data Source and Population

- The study was a retrospective observational analysis. Data were derived from the electronic health records of a large dialysis organization (LDO) for the 2012 calendar year.
- Patients included in the analysis were, as of 01 January 2012, ≥18 years old, non-US veterans, and receiving hemodialysis at the LDO with a dialysis vintage of at least 6 months.

Analysis

- The exposure of interest was altitude, which was determined by clinic ZIP code. Outcomes were assessed over the 2012 calendar year.
- ESA hyporesponse was defined as 2 consecutive bimonthly Hb measures < 10 g/dL with ESA dose >7700 U/treatment at baseline.
- Erythropoietin resistance index (ERI) was defined as the mean monthly ESA dose divided by the mean monthly Hb during the study period.
- ESA and intravenous (IV) iron utilization were calculated as the proportion of patient use (yes/no) and dose among users (ie, non-users excluded).
- Associations with altitude categories were estimated using mixed linear models.
- Models were adjusted for covariates that differed significantly across altitude categories at baseline (P < 0.10).
- Mortality and missed dialysis treatment rates were calculated as the number of events divided by time at risk.
- Associations with altitude categories were estimated using mixed models assuming Poisson and negative binomial outcome distributions.
- Models were adjusted for covariates that differed significantly at baseline (P < 0.10).
- Confidence intervals (95% CI) were determined by generating bootstrap estimates with 100 replicates, using unrestricted replacement sampling.

The Effect of Altitude on Erythropoiesis-Stimulating Agent Dose, Hemoglobin Level, and Mortality in Hemodialysis Patients

Table 1. Baseline Patient Characteristics by Altitude Category

			<i>P</i> -Value			
		0-1499 N = 92,490	1500-2999 N = 3118	3000-4499 N = 1659	> 4500 N = 2027	
Age, years,	mean ± SD	61.6 ± 14.9	61.9 ± 14.6	62.3 ± 14.0	63.0 ±14.8	< 0.001
Male Female		54.5% 45.5%	53.1% 46.9%	55.3% 44.7%	54.2% 45.8%	0.41
Race White Black Hispanic Asian Other/Unknow	n	33.7% 41.6% 17.3% 3.8% 3.7%	49.4% 14.3% 19.5% 4.4% 12.4%	21.6% 4.2% 69.1% 1.0% 4.2%	40.5% 12.1% 19.2% 2.2% 26.0%	< 0.001
Etiology ESRD Diabetes Hypertension Other/Unknow	n	44.5% 31.9% 23.5%	50.6% 25.2% 24.3%	59.8% 21.0% 19.2%	54.1% 15.1% 30.7%	< 0.001
Postdialysis weigh	nt, kg mean + SD	80 4 + 22 7	80 8 + 22 7	77 5 + 20 1	77 9 + 21 4	< 0.001
Vintage, months	median [p25, p75]	38 [20, 68]	36 [19, 63]	46 [22, 74]	43 [23, 76]	< 0.001
Vascular access Fistula Graft Catheter		64.5% 22.7% 12.8%	67.9% 19.2% 12.8%	76.3% 15.1% 8.6%	76.0% 16.0% 7.9%)	< 0.001
Diabetes		68.8%	69.7%	81.1%	73.8%	< 0.001
Congestive heart f	failure	12.8%	11.4%	6.1%	7.8%	< 0.001
Coronary artery di	sease	7.9%	8.2%	10.9%	6.9%	< 0.001
Cerebrovascular d	lisease	0.8%	1.0%	0.4%	0.5%	0.03
Cancer		2.0%	2.5%	1.4%	2.5%	0.03
Infection		0.7%	0.7%	0.4%	0.5%	0.25
Peripheral vascula	ar disease	2.0%	4.0%	2.7%	2.0%	< 0.001
GI bleed		1.2%	1.1%	0.9%	1.5%	0.38
Charlson comorbio	dity index.					
	mean ± SD	5.49 ± 1.93	5.57 ± 1.93	5.74 ± 1.75	5.68 ± 1.84	< 0.001
Ferritin, ng/mL	median [p25, p75]	790 [549, 1032]	841 [592, 1094]	831 [610, 1088]	694 [417, 943]	< 0.001
TSAT, %	mean ± SD	31.7 ± 14.3	32.5 ± 14.6	33.4 ± 14.8	31.9 ± 14.2	< 0.001
PTH, pg/mL	median [p25, p75]	360 [225, 563]	335 [210, 519]	315 [199, 463]	316 [201, 493]	< 0.001
Kt/V	mean ± SD	1.61 ± 0.32	1.68 ± 0.33	1.71 ± 0.32	1.75 ± 0.35	< 0.001
Albumin, g/dL	mean ± SD	3.96 ± 0.41	3.95 ± 0.41	3.98 ± 0.40	3.94 ± 0.38	< 0.001
Abbreviations: ESA,	erythropoiesis-stimulating agent; E	SRD, end-stage renal disease	e; GI, gastrointestinal; IV, ir	ntravenous; TSAT, transferri	n saturation; PTH, parathyro	id hormone,

SD, standard deviation

Figure 1. ESA Hyporesponse and Erythropoietin Resistance Index Score by Altitude Category



Abbreviations: ESA, erythropoiesis-stimulating agent; ERI, erythropoietin resistance index

Results

Figure 2. Differences in ESA and IV Iron Dose and Hemoglobin Level by **Altitude Category**



djustments were made for covariates that were imbalanced (P < 0.1) across aroups at baseline

Figure 3. Incidence Rate Ratios for Missed Dialysis Treatments and Mortality by Altitude Category



nents were made for covariates that were imbalanced (P < 0.1) across groups at baseline

Table 2. Description of Outcomes by Altitude Category

	Altitude Category					
	0-1499	1500-2999	3000-4499	>4500		
	N = 92,490	N = 3118	N = 1659	N = 2027		
ESA						
Utilization, U/treatment ^a						
Mean ± SD	3517 ± 3994	3063 ± 3701	2456 ± 3099	2257 ± 3191		
Median [p25, p75]	2200 [1015, 4492]	1/29 [/69, 39//]	1523 [677, 3046]	1257 [0, 2800]		
Users (%) Dose among Users 11/treatment	88.3%	85.0%	82.0%	74.2%		
Unadjusted modeled mean (95% CI) ^b	2599 (2585 2613)	2278 (2206 2351)	2002 (1932-2074)	1871 (1795 1949)		
Adjusted modeled mean (95% CI) ^b	2907 (2553, 3311)	2615 (2288, 2989)	2495 (2180, 2854)	2182 (1905, 2501)		
IV Iron	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Utilization, mg/month ^a						
Mean ± SD	162 ± 159	162 ± 150	138 ± 152	135 ± 159		
Median [p25, p75]	200 [0, 200]	200 [0, 200]	150 [0, 200]	100 [0, 200]		
Users (%)	73.2%	74.6%	65.6%	62.8%		
Dose among Users , mg/month	222 (224 222)	210 (215 221)	211(207 215)	214(210, 210)		
Adjusted modeled mean (95% CI) ^b	260 (245, 275)	210 (213, 221) 257 (242, 272)	255 (240, 271)	214 (210, 219) 257 (241, 272)		
Hemoalobin. a/dL	200 (210, 210)		200 (210, 211)	201 (211, 212)		
Mean + SD	10 80 + 1 15	10 91 + 1 17	11 12 + 1 10	11 11 + 1 20		
Median [n25 n75]	10.09 ± 1.13	10.91 ± 1.17	11 10 [10 40 11 70]	11 10 [10 40 11 80]		
Unadjusted modeled mean (95% CI) ^b	10.89 (10.88, 10.89)	10.91 (10.88, 10.94)	11.12 (11.07. 11.16)	11.14 (11.10, 11.19)		
Adjusted modeled mean (95% CI) ^b	10.83(10.74, 10.92)	10.82 (10.73, 10.91)	10.98 (10.88, 11.07)	11.06 (10.96, 11.15)		
Missed Dialysis Treatments						
At-risk time (patient-years)	80,873	2653	1481	1821		
Number of missed treatments	976,200	31,707	15,321	21,924		
Unadjusted modeled rate (95% CI) ^b	12.07 (11.98, 12.15)	11.94 (11.45, 12.45)	10.33 (9.78, 10.91)	12.05 (11.45, 12.69)		
Adjusted modeled rate (95% CI) ^b	10.70 (8.95, 12.79)	11.14 (9.29, 13.37)	10.38 (8.61, 12.50)	11.44 (9.51, 13.77)		
Mortality						
At-risk time (patient-years)	80,873	2653	1481	1821		
Number of deaths	7837	292	134	140		
Unadjusted rate (95% CI) ^b	0.096 (0.095, 0.099)	0.11 (0.098, 0.123)	0.09(0.076, 0.107)	0.08 (0.065, 0.091)		
	0.00 (0.05, 0.15)	0.09 (0.00, 0.14)	0.09 (0.05, 0.14)	0.00 (0.04, 0.10)		

CI were generated using a bootstrapping method. Adjustments were made for covariates that were imbalanced (*P* < 0.1) across groups at baseline. Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; ESA, erythropoiesis-stimulating agent; Hb, hemoglobin; IV, intravenous; p25, 25th percentile; p75, 75th percentile; pt, patient; SD, standard deviation

- Compared to patients in the lowest altitude category, patients in the highest altitude category were older with lower dry weight, longer dialysis vintage, and higher Kt/V; were more frequently white and/or diabetic; were less likely to have a history of hypertension or congestive heart failure; and had lower ferritin values (Table 1).
- The frequency of ESA hyporesponse and ERI scores decreased with higher altitude (Figure 1).
- Patients at higher altitude were less likely to receive ESA treatment (range, 88.3% to 74.2%). Per treatment ESA dose among users decreased with increasing altitude category: the adjusted mean difference in dose between the lowest and highest altitude categories was -723 U/treatment (Figure 2A and Table 2).
- The proportion of patients using IV iron decreased incrementally with increasing altitude category (range, 74.6% to 62.8%) but there was no difference in mean monthly IV iron utilization across categories (Figure 2B and Table 2).
- Hb concentration increased incrementally with higher altitude; patients in the 2 highest altitude categories had greater mean Hb values (+0.15 and +0.23 g/dL, respectively) than patients in the lowest altitude category.
- Patients in the highest altitude category had a higher rate of missed dialysis treatments compared to those in the lowest altitude category (incidence rate ratio [IRR], 1.07; Figure 3A and Table 2).
- Mortality was lower for patients in the highest altitude category compared to those in the lowest altitude category (IRR, 0.73; Figure 3B and Table 2).

Conclusions

- Among contemporary HD patients, higher altitude was associated with higher Hb levels despite lower ESA doses and comparable IV iron utilization. Frequency of ESA hyporesponse and ERI scores both decreased with increasing altitude.
- Compared to altitude <1500 ft, altitude >4500 ft was independently associated with 26% lower mortality risk but a 7% greater rate of missed dialysis treatments.
- These findings confirm that the positive association between higher altitude and patient outcomes is still evident in the context of current anemia management

References

- Brookhart MA et al (2008) J Am Soc Nephrol. 19(7):1389-95.
- Brookhart MA et al (2011) Am J Epidemiol. 173(7):768-77.
- Winkelmayer WC et al (2009) JAMA. 301(5):508-12.
- Winkelmayer WC, Liu J, Brookhart MA (2012) Nephrol Dial Transplant. 27(6):2411-7.
- Shapiro BB et al (2014) Hemodial Int. 18(2):374-383.
- Mastrogiannaki M, Matak P, Peyssonnaux C (2013) Blood.122(6):885-92.

Acknowledgments

We extend our sincere appreciation to the teammates in more than 2,000 DaVita clinics who work every day to take care of patients and also to ensure the extensive data collection on which our work is based. We thank DaVita Clinical Research[®] (DCR[®]), and specifically acknowledge Adam G Walker, PhD, and Abigail Hunt, PhD, of DCR for editorial contributions in preparing this poster.

This study was funded by Akebia Therapeutics. Correspondence: scott.sibbel@davita.com

Poster available at www.davitaclinicalresearch.com

American Society of Nephrology Kidney Week, November 3-8, 2015; San Diego, CA

